

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The court met in its courtroom at 10:00 A.M. Present: Honorable Gene M. Gomes, Acting Presiding Justice; Honorable Brad Hill, Associate Justice; Honorable Stephen Kane, Associate Justice; and Leisa V. Biggers, Clerk/Administrator, by Anthony Lauria, Senior Deputy Clerk.

F047678 Adesokan v. Adesokan

Cause called and argued by Cynthia J. Secula, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Baldwin S. Moy, Esq., counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

Court recessed until 1:45 P.M.

The court reconvened in its courtroom at 1:45 P.M. Present: Honorable James A. Ardaiz, Presiding Justice; Honorable Gene M. Gomes, Associate Justice; Honorable Stephen Kane, Associate Justice; and Leisa V. Biggers, Clerk/Administrator, by Jill Rivera, Deputy Clerk.

F049807 People v. Rodriguez

Cause called and argued by Ann Hopkins, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Marcia A. Fay, Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

At this point Ardaiz, P.J. directs Gomes, J. to act as Presiding Justice in his absence, leaves the bench and is replaced by Hill, J.

F049797 People v. Marks

Cause called and argued by Jerome P. Wallingford, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Lewis A. Martinez, Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F049673 People v. Booth

Cause called and argued by Mark Hart, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Melissa Lipon, Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

Court recessed until Tuesday, June 12, 2007 at 10:00 A.M.

F050288 People v. Ogunleye

The judgment is reversed with modifications. Kane, J.

We concur: Harris, Acting P.J.; Wiseman, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F050303 People v. Ogunleye

The ruling granting the petition for writ of habeas corpus is hereby reversed due to failure by the trial court to follow mandatory statutory procedures, amounting to a violation of due process. Specifically, the court summarily granted the petition without first issuing the writ or an order to show cause, thereby depriving appellant of an opportunity to file a return addressing the merits of the factual and legal issues. The matter is remanded to the trial court for further proceedings consistent with this opinion. Kane, J.

We concur: Harris, Acting P.J.; Wiseman, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F050151 People v. Delgadillo

The above-entitled case is submitted.